Case 1:18-cv-07549-PGG Document 4 Filed 08/20/18 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
Southern Dist	rict of New York
EMANUEL DELACRUZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff(s)" V.)) Civil Action No. 18cv7549
MR. SANDLESS FRANCHISE LLC	
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) MR. SANDLESS FRA C/O National Registe 600 N. 2nd Street - S Harrisburg, Pennsylv	ered Agents, Inc. uite 401
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offic	
300 CADMAN PLAZA 12TH FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YO	WEST
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Date: August 20, 2018 /S/ S. James Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

CLERK OF COURT

Case 1:18-cv-07549-PGG Document 4 Filed 08/20/18 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for (name of individual and title, if any)
was received by me on (date)

I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)

, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,

on (date) ; or

on (date) _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on (name of individual)
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)
on (date)
; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because

Other (specify):

+

My fees are S	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date:

Server's signature

; ог

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 1 of 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK EMANUEL DELACRUZ, on behalf of himself and: all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

MR. SANDLESS FRANCHISE LLC,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

-----X

INTRODUCTION

1

1

:

- Plaintiff EMANUEL DELACRUZ, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, asserts the following claims against Defendant MR. SANDLESS FRANCHISE LLC (hereinafter, "MR. SANDLESS") as follows.
- 2. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person who requires screenreading software to read website content using his computer. Plaintiff uses the terms "blind" or "visually-impaired" to refer to all people with visual impairments who meet the legal definition of blindness in that they have a visual acuity with correction of less than or equal to 20 x 200. Some blind people who meet this definition have limited vision. Others have no vision.
- 3. Based on a 2010 U.S. Census Bureau report, approximately 8.1 million people in the United States are visually impaired, including 2.0 million who are blind, and according to the American Foundation for the Blind's 2015 report, approximately 400,000 visually impaired persons live in the State of New York.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 2 of 26

- 4. Plaintiff brings this civil rights action against Defendant for its failure to design, construct, maintain, and operate its website to be fully accessible to and independently usable by Plaintiff and other blind or visually-impaired people. Defendant's denial of full and equal access to its website, and therefore denial of its goods and services offered thereby and in conjunction with its physical locations, is a violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA").
- 5. Because Defendant's website, www.mrsandless.com (the "Website" or "Defendant's website"), is not equally accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers, it violates the ADA. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction to cause a change in Defendant's corporate policies, practices, and procedures so that Defendant's website will become and remain accessible to blind and visuallyimpaired consumers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12181, as Plaintiff's claims arise under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 1281, et seq., and 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 7. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 over Plaintiff's New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law Article 15, ("NYSHRL") and New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-101 et seq., ("NYCHRL") claims.
- Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and (2) because
 Defendant conducts and continues to conduct a substantial and significant amount

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 3 of 26

of business in this District, and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District.

- 9. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Defendant has been and is committing the acts or omissions alleged herein in the Southern District of New York that caused injury, and violated rights the ADA prescribes to Plaintiff and to other blind and other visually impaired-consumers. A substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in the in this District: on several separate occasions, Plaintiff has been denied the full use and enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services of Defendant's physical locations and/or Website in New York County. These access barriers that Plaintiff encountered have caused a denial of Plaintiff's full and equal access multiple times in the past, and now deter Plaintiff on a regular basis from visiting Defendant's brick-and mortar physical locations.
- This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff EMANUEL DELACRUZ, at all relevant times, is a resident of New York, New York. Plaintiff is a blind, visually-impaired handicapped person and a member of member of a protected class of individuals under the ADA, under 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)-(2), and the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 et seq., the NYSHRL and NYCHRL.
- Defendant is and was at all relevant times a Pennsylvania Limited Liability Company doing business in New York.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 4 of 26

 Defendant's Offices are public accommodations within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). Defendant's Website is a service, privilege, or advantage of Defendant's Offices.

NATURE OF ACTION

- 14. The Internet has become a significant source of information, a portal, and a tool for conducting business, doing everyday activities such as Officeping, learning, banking, researching, as well as many other activities for sighted, blind and visually-impaired persons alike.
- 15. In today's tech-savvy world, blind and visually-impaired people have the ability to access websites using keyboards in conjunction with screen access software that voealizes the visual information found on a computer screen or displays the content on a refreshable Braille display. This technology is known as screen-reading software. Screen-reading software is currently the only method a blind or visually-impaired person may independently access the internet. Unless websites are designed to be read by screen-reading software, blind and visually-impaired persons are unable to fully access websites, and the information, products, goods and contained thereon.
- 16. Blind and visually-impaired users of Windows operating system-enabled computers and devices have several screen reading software programs available to them. Some of these programs are available for purchase and other programs are available without the user having to purchase the program separately. Job Access With Speech, otherwise known as "JAWS" is currently the most popular, separately purchased and downloaded screen-reading software program available for a Windows computer.

-4-

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 5 of 26

- 17. For screen-reading software to function, the information on a website must be capable of being rendered into text. If the website content is not capable of being rendered into text, the blind or visually-impaired user is unable to access the same content available to sighted users.
- 18. The international website standards organization, the World Wide Web Consortium, known throughout the world as W3C, has published version 2.0 of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG 2.0"). WCAG 2.0 are wellestablished guidelines for making websites accessible to blind and visuallyimpaired people. These guidelines are universally followed by most large business entities and government agencies to ensure their websites are accessible.
- 19. Non-compliant websites pose common access barriers to blind and visuallyimpaired persons. Common barriers encountered by blind and visually impaired persons include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. A text equivalent for every non-text element is not provided;

b. Title frames with text are not provided for identification and navigation;

c. Equivalent text is not provided when using scripts;

d. Forms with the same information and functionality as for sighted persons are not provided;

e. Information about the meaning and structure of content is not conveyed by more than the visual presentation of content;

f. Text cannot be resized without assistive technology up to 200%
 without losing content or functionality;

-5-

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 6 of 26

g. If the content enforces a time limit, the user is not able to extend, adjust or disable it;

h. Web pages do not have titles that describe the topic or purpose;

i. The purpose of each link cannot be determined from the link text alone or from the link text and its programmatically determined link context;

j. One or more keyboard operable user interface lacks a mode of operation where the keyboard focus indicator is discernible;

 k. The default human language of each web page cannot be programmatically determined;

 When a component receives focus, it may initiate a change in context;

m. Changing the setting of a user interface component may automatically cause a change of context where the user has not been advised before using the component;

 Labels or instructions are not provided when content requires user input, which include captcha prompts that require the user to verify that he or she is not a robot;

 In content which is implemented by using markup languages, elements do not have complete start and end tags, elements are not nested according to their specifications, elements may contain duplicate attributes, and/or any IDs are not unique;

p. Inaccessible Portable Document Format (PDFs); and,

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 7 of 26

q. The name and role of all User Interface elements cannot be programmatically determined; items that can be set by the user cannot be programmatically set; and/or notification of changes to these items is not available to user agents, including assistive technology.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Defendant's Barriers on Its Website

- 20. Defendant is Wood Refinishing company that operates MR. SANDLESS Offices (its "Offices") as well as the MR. SANDLESS website, offering features which should allow all consumers to access the goods and services which Defendant offers in connection with their physical locations.
- Defendant operates MR. SANDLESS Offices across the United States, including its Office in New York City located at 42 Lisbon Pl, Staten Island, NY 10306.
- 22. These Offices constitute places of public accommodation. Defendant's Offices provide to the public important goods and services. Defendant's Website provides consumers with access to an array of goods and services including Office locations and hours, the ability to browse store products for sale, information on the wood refinishing services it provides, and related goods and services available both in Offices and online.
- 23. Defendant offers the commercial website, www.mrsandless.com, to the public. The website offers features which should allow all consumers to access the goods and services which Defendant offers in connection with their physical locations. The goods and services offered by Defendant include but are not limited to the following: Office locations and hours, the ability to browse store products for sale,

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 8 of 26

information on the wood refinishing services it provides, and related goods and services available both in Offices and online.

- 24. It is, upon information and belief, Defendant's policy and practice to deny Plaintiff, along with other blind or visually-impaired users, access to Defendant's website, and to therefore specifically deny the goods and services that are offered and integrated with Defendant's Offices. Due to Defendant's failure and refusal to remove access barriers to its website, Plaintiff and visually-impaired persons have been and are still being denied equal access to Defendant's Offices and the numerous goods and services and benefits offered to the public through the Website.
- 25. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person, who cannot use a computer without the assistance of screen-reading software. Plaintiff is, however, a proficient JAWS screen-reader user and uses it to access the Internet. Plaintiff has visited the Website on separate occasions using the JAWS screen-reader.
- 26. During Plaintiff's visits to the Website, the last occurring in July 2018, Plaintiff encountered multiple access barriers that denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the facilities, goods and services offered to the public and made available to the public; and that denied Plaintiff the full enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services of the Website, as well as to the facilities, goods and services of Defendant's physical locations in New York by being unable to learn more information about Office locations and hours, the ability to browse store products for sale, information on the wood refinishing services it provides, and related goods and services available both in Offices and online.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 9 of 26

27. While attempting to navigate the Website, Plaintiff encountered multiple accessibility barriers for blind or visually-impaired people that include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Lack of Alternative Text ("alt-text"), or a text equivalent. Alt-text is an invisible code embedded beneath a graphical image on a website. Web accessibility requires that alt-text be coded with each picture so that screenreading software can speak the alt-text where a sighted user sces pictures, which includes captcha prompts. Alt-text does not change the visual presentation, but instead a text box shows when the mouse moves over the picture. The lack of alt-text on these graphics prevents screen readers from accurately vocalizing a description of the graphics. As a result, visuallyimpaired prospective customers are unable to determine what is on the website, browse, look for Office locations and hours, the ability to browse store products for sale, information on the wood refinishing services it provides, and related goods and services available both in Offices and online.

b. Empty Links That Contain No Text causing the function or purpose of the link to not be presented to the user. This can introduce confusion for keyboard and screen-reader users;

c. Redundant Links where adjacent links go to the same URL address which results in additional navigation and repetition for keyboard and screen-reader users; and

d. Linked Images Missing Alt-text, which causes problems if an image

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 10 of 26

within a link contains no text and that image does not provide alt-text. A screen reader then has no content to present the user as to the function of the link, including information contained in PDFs.

Defendant Must Remove Barriers To Its Website

- 28. Due to the inaccessibility of Defendant's Website, blind and visually-impaired customers such as Plaintiff, who need screen-readers, cannot fully and equally use or enjoy the facilities, products, and services Defendant offers to the public on its Website. The access barriers Plaintiff encountered have caused a denial of Plaintiff's full and equal access in the past, and now deter Plaintiff on a regular basis from visiting the Website.
- 29. These access barriers on Defendant's Website have deterred Plaintiff from visiting Defendant's physical locations and enjoying them equal to sighted individuals because: Plaintiff was unable to find the location and hours of operation of Defendant's Offices on its Website and other important information, preventing Plaintiff from visiting the locations to take advantage of the goods and services that it provides to the public.
- 30. If the Website was equally accessible to all, Plaintiff could independently navigate the Website and complete a desired transaction as sighted individuals do.
- 31. Through his attempts to use the Website, Plaintiff has actual knowledge of the access barriers that make these services inaccessible and independently unusable by blind and visually-impaired people.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 11 of 26

- 32. Because simple compliance with the WCAG 2.0 Guidelines would provide Plaintiff and other visually-impaired consumers with equal access to the Website, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has engaged in acts of intentional discrimination, including but not limited to the following policies or practices:
 - a. Constructing and maintaining a website that is inaccessible to visually-impaired individuals, including Plaintiff;

b. Failure to construct and maintain a website that is sufficiently intuitive
 so as to be equally accessible to visually-impaired individuals, including
 Plaintiff; and,

c. Failing to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind and visually-impaired consumers, such as Plaintiff, as a member of a protected class.

- 33. Defendant therefore uses standards, criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating or perpetuating the discrimination of others, as alleged herein.
- 34. The ADA expressly contemplates the injunctive relief that Plaintiff seeks in this action. In relevant part, the ADA requires:

In the case of violations of . . . this title, injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities . . . Where appropriate, injunctive relief shall also include requiring the . . . modification of a policy . . .

42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2).

35. Because Defendant's Website have never been equally accessible, and because Defendant lacks a corporate policy that is reasonably calculated to cause its Website to become and remain accessible, Plaintiff invokes 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and seeks a permanent injunction requiring Defendant to retain a qualified consultant

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 12 of 26

acceptable to Plaintiff ("Agreed Upon Consultant") to assist Defendant to comply with WCAG 2.0 guidelines for Defendant's Website. Plaintiff seeks that this permanent injunction requires Defendant to cooperate with the Agreed Upon Consultant to:

a. Train Defendant's employees and agents who develop the Website on accessibility compliance under the WCAG 2.0 guidelines;

b. Regularly check the accessibility of the Website under the WCAG
2.0 guidelines;

Regularly test user accessibility by blind or vision-impaired persons
 to ensure that Defendant's Website complies under the WCAG 2.0
 guidelines; and,

d. Develop an accessibility policy that is clearly disclosed on Defendant's Websites, with contact information for users to report accessibility-related problems.

- 36. If the Website was accessible, Plaintiff and similarly situated blind and visuallyimpaired people could independently view service items, locate Defendant's physical locations and hours of operation, Office for and otherwise research related goods and services available via the Website.
- 37. Although Defendant may currently have centralized policies regarding maintaining and operating its Website, Defendant lacks a plan and policy reasonably calculated to make them fully and equally accessible to, and independently usable by, blind and other visually-impaired consumers.

-12-

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 13 of 26

- 38. Defendant has, upon information and belief, invested substantial sums in developing and maintaining their Website and has generated significant revenue from the Website. These amounts are far greater than the associated cost of making their Website equally accessible to visually impaired customers.
- 39. Without injunctive relief, Plaintiff and other visually-impaired consumers will continue to be unable to independently use the Website, violating their rights.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 40. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks to certify a nationwide class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(2): all legally blind individuals in the United States who have attempted to access Defendant's Website and as a result have been denied access to the equal enjoyment of goods and services offered in Defendant's physical locations, during the relevant statutory period.
- 41. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks certify a New York State subclass under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(2): all legally blind individuals in the State of New York who have attempted to access Defendant's Website and as a result have been denied access to the equal enjoyment of goods and services offered in Defendant's physical locations, during the relevant statutory period.
- 42. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks certify a New York City subclass under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(2): all legally blind individuals in the City of New York who have attempted to access Defendant's Website and as a result have been denied access to the equal enjoyment of goods and services offered in Defendant's physical locations, during the relevant statutory period.
- 43. Common questions of law and fact exist amongst Class, including:
 - a. Whether Defendant's Website is a "public accommodation" under

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 14 of 26

thc ADA;

 Whether Defendant's Website is a "place or provider of public accommodation" under the NYSHRL or NYCHRL;

c. Whether Defendant's Website denies the full and equal enjoyment of its products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities, violating the ADA; and d. Whether Defendant's Website denies the full and equal enjoyment of its products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities, violating the NYSHRL or NYCHRL.

- 44. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class. The Class, similarly to the Plaintiff, are severely visually impaired or otherwise blind, and claim that Defendant has violated the ADA, NYSYRHL or NYCHRL by failing to update or remove access barriers on its Website so either can be independently accessible to the Class.
- 45. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members because Plaintiff has retained and is represented by counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the Class Members. Class certification of the claims is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.
- 46. Alternatively, class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because fact and legal questions common to Class Members predominate over questions

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 15 of 26

affecting only individual Class Members, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

47. Judicial economy will be served by maintaining this lawsuit as a class action in that it is likely to avoid the burden that would be otherwise placed upon the judicial system by the filing of numerous similar suits by people with visual disabilities throughout the United States.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 1281 et seq.

- 48. Plaintiff, on bchalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Section 302(a) of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., provides:

No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.

- 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 50. Defendant's Offices are public accommodations within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). Defendant's Website is a service, privilege, or advantage of Defendant's Offices. The Website is a service that is integrated with these locations.
- 51. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 52. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 16 of 26

the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii).

53. Under Section 302(b)(2) of Title III of the ADA, unlawful discrimination also

includes, among other things:

[A] failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations; and a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden.

42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)-(iii).

- 54. The acts alleged herein constitute violations of Title III of the ADA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Plaintiff, who is a member of a protected class of persons under the ADA, has a physical disability that substantially limits the major life activity of sight within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(1)(A)-(2)(A). Furthermore, Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to the Website, has not been provided services that are provided to other patrons who are not disabled, and has been provided services that are inferior to the services provided to non-disabled persons. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.
- 55. Under 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff, requests relief as set forth below.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 17 of 26

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF THE NYSHRL

- 56. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the New York State Sub-Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(a) provides that it is "an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place of public accommodation ... because of the ... disability of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof."
- 58. Defendant's physical locations are located in State of New York and throughout the United States and constitute sales establishments and public accommodations within the definition of N.Y. Exec. Law § 292(9). Defendant's Website is a service, privilege or advantage of Defendant. Defendant's Website is a service that is by and integrated with these physical locations.
- 59. Defendant is subject to New York Human Rights Law because it owns and operates its physical locations and Website. Defendant is a person within the meaning of N.Y. Exec. Law § 292(1).
- 60. Defendant is violating N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(a) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to its Website, causing its Website and the services integrated with Defendant's physical locations to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons full and equal access to the facilities, services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public.
- Under N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(c)(i), unlawful discriminatory practice includes, among other things, "a refusal to make reasonable modifications in policies,

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 18 of 26

practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless such person can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations being offered or would result in an undue burden".

- 62. Under N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(c)(ii), unlawful discriminatory practice also includes, "a refusal to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded or denied services because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless such person can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the facility, privilege, advantage or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden."
- 63. Readily available, well-established guidelines exist on the Internet for making websites accessible to the blind and visually impaired. These guidelines have been followed by other large business entities and government agencies in making their website accessible, including but not limited to: adding alt-text to graphics and ensuring that all functions can be performed using a keyboard. Incorporating the basic components to make its Website accessible would neither fundamentally alter the nature of Defendant's business nor result in an undue burden to Defendant.
- 64. Defendant's actions constitute willful intentional discrimination against the class on the basis of a disability in violation of the NYSHRL, N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2) in that Defendant has:

a. constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or

-18-

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 19 of 26

- b. constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
- c. failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.
- 65. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy their discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.
- 66. Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and New York State Sub-Class Members on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of Defendant's Website and its physical locations under § 296(2) et seq. and/or its implementing regulations. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and the Sub-Class Members will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- 67. Defendant's actions were and are in violation of New York State Human Rights Law and therefore Plaintiff invokes his right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.
- 68. Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory damages, as well as civil penalties and fines under N.Y. Exec. Law § 297(4)(c) et seq. for each and every offense.
- 69. Plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 70. Under N.Y. Exec. Law § 297 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 20 of 26

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK STATE CIVIL RIGHTS LAW

- 71. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the New York State Sub-Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. Plaintiff served notice thereof upon the attorney general as required by N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 41.
- 73. N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40 provides that "all persons within the jurisdiction of this state shall be entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any places of public accommodations, resort or amusement, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law and applicable alike to all persons. No persons, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any such place shall directly or indirectly refuse, withhold from, or deny to any person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges thereof ..."
- 74. N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-c(2) provides that "no person because of ... disability, as such term is defined in section two hundred ninety-two of executive law, be subjected to any discrimination in his or her civil rights, or to any harassment, as defined in section 240.25 of the penal law, in the exercise thereof, by any other person or by any firm, corporation or institution, or by the state or any agency or subdivision."
- 75. Defendant's New York State physical locations are sales establishments and public accommodations within the definition of N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-c(2). Defendant's Website is a service, privilege or advantage of Defendant and its Website is a service that is by and integrated with these establishments.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 21 of 26

- 76. Defendant is subject to New York Civil Rights Law because it owns and operates its physical locations and Website. Defendant is a person within the meaning of N.Y. Civil Law § 40-c(2).
- 77. Defendant is violating N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-e(2) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to its Website, causing its Website and the goods and services integrated with Defendant's physical locations to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons full and equal access to the facilities, goods and services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public.
- 78. N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 41 states that "any corporation which shall violate any of the provisions of sections forty, forty-a, forty-b or forty-two . . . shall for each and every violation thereof be liable to a penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, to be recovered by the person aggrieved thereby . . ."
- 79. Under NY Civil Rights Law § 40-d, "any person who shall violate any of the provisions of the foregoing section, or subdivision three of section 240.30 or section 240.31 of the penal law, or who shall aid or incite the violation of any of said provisions shall for each and every violation thereof be liable to a penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, to be recovered by the person aggrieved thereby in any court of competent jurisdiction in the county in which the defendant shall reside ..."
- 80. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 22 of 26

- 81. Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and New York State Sub-Class Members on the basis of disability are being directly or indirectly refused, withheld from, or denied the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges thereof in § 40 et seq. and/or its implementing regulations.
- 82. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages of five hundred dollars per instance, as well as civil penalties and fines under N.Y. Civil Law § 40 et seq. for each and every offense.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF THE NYCHRL

- 83. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the New York City Sub-Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 84. N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) provides that "It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place or provider of public accommodation, because of . . . disability . . . directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person, any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof."
- 85. Defendant's locations are sales establishments and public accommodations within the definition of N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-102(9), and its Website is a service that is integrated with its establishments.
- 86. Defendant is subject to NYCHRL because it owns and operates its physical locations in the City of New York and its Website, making it a person within the meaning of N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-102(1).

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 23 of 26

- 87. Defendant is violating N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to Website, causing its Website and the services integrated with its physical locations to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons full and equal access to the facilities, products, and services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public.
- 88. Defendant is required to "make reasonable accommodation to the needs of persons with disabilities . . . any person prohibited by the provisions of [§ 8-107 et seq.] from discriminating on the basis of disability shall make reasonable accommodation to enable a person with a disability to . . . enjoy the right or rights in question provided that the disability is known or should have been known by the covered entity." N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107(15)(a).
- 89. Defendant's actions constitute willful intentional discrimination against the Sub-Class on the basis of a disability in violation of the N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) and § 8-107(15)(a) in that Defendant has:
 - a. constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or
 - b. constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
 - c. failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.
- 90. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy their discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 24 of 26

- 91. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of its Website and its establishments under § 8-107(4)(a) and/or its implementing regulations. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the class will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- 92. Defendant's actions were and are in violation of the NYCHRL and therefore Plaintiff invokes his right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.
- 93. Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory damages, as well as civil penalties and fines under N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-120(8) and § 8-126(a) for each offense as well as punitive damages pursuant to § 8-502.
- 94. Plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 95. Under N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-120 and § 8-126 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 96. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class and New York State and City Sub-Classes Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding. paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 97. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties in that Plaintiff contends, and is informed and believes that Defendant denies, that its Website contains access barriers denying blind customers the full and equal access to the

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 25 of 26

products, services and facilities of its Website and by extension its physical locations, which Defendant owns, operations and controls, fails to comply with applicable laws including, but not limited to, Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq., and N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107, et seq. prohibiting discrimination against the blind.

98. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that each of the parties may know their respective rights and duties and act accordingly.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court grant the following relief:

a. A preliminary and permanent injunction to prohibit Defendant from violating the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq.,
N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq., N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq., and the laws of New York;

b. A preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Defendant to take all the steps necessary to make its Website into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that the Website is readily accessible to and usable by blind individuals;

c. A declaration that Defendant owns, maintains and/or operates its
Website in a manner that discriminates against the blind and which fails to provide access for persons with disabilities as required by Americans with
Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq.,
N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq., and the laws of New York
d. An order certifying the Class and Sub-Classes under Fed. R. Civ. P.

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Document 1 Filed 08/19/18 Page 26 of 26

23(a) & (b)(2) and/or (b)(3), appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and his attorneys as Class Counsel;

e. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by proof, including all applicable statutory and punitive damages and fines, to Plaintiff and the proposed class and subclasses for violations of their civil rights under New York State Human Rights Law and City Law;

f. Pre- and post-judgment interest;

g. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with

reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and

h. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions

of fact the Complaint raises.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York August 19, 2018

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP

By:

Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq. Joseph@cml.legal 300 Cadman Plaza West, 12th Fl. Brooklyn, New York 11201 Tel: (929) 575-4175 Fax: (929) 575-4195

GOTTLIEB & ASSOCIATES Jeffrey M. Gottlieb (JG7905) nyjg@aol.com Dana L. Gottlieb (DG6151) danalgottlieb@aol.com 150 East 18th Street, Suite PHR New York, N.Y. 10003-2461 Telephone: (212) 228-9795

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44C/SQNY REV. 06/01/17

Case 1:18-cv-07549 Dogv 10 cover 5/06-08/19/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS-44 divil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

LAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS				
EMANUEL DELACRUZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,		MR. SANDLESS FRANCHISE LLC				
TTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)				
Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, 3 1201 (929)575-4175	300 Cadman Plaza West	, 12 Fl, Brooklyn, NY				
CAUSE OF ACTION (CIT	TE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE L NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL	INDER WHICH YOU ARE FI	LING AND WRITE A BRIEF ST RSITY)	ATEMENT OF CAUSE)		
Title III of the America	ns with Disabilities Act					
Has this action, case, or	proceeding, or one essent	ielly the same been pravi	ously filed in SDNY at any t	ime? No Ves	ge Previously Assigned	
If yes, was this case Vo	I. 🗌 Invol. 🔲 Dismissed.	No 🗌 Yes 🛄 If yes,	give date	& Case No.		
S THIS AN INTERNATIONAL ARB	TRATION CASE? NO	¥ Yes				
PLACE AN (x) IN ONE	BOX ONLY)	NATURE OF S	SUIT			
	TORTS			ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES		
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITUREPENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
1110 INSURANCE 1120 MARINE 1130 MILLER ACT 1140 NEGOTABLE INSTRUMENT ISO 1150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT 8 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT OF JUDGMENT ISO RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS [152 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT 8 [EXCL, VETERANS [153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT 10ANS [EXCL, VETERANS [153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS BENEFITS [153 RECOVERY OF OF VETERANS BENEFITS [154 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS SUITS [160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS SUITS [195 CONTRACT [196 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY [210 LAND [220 FORECLOSURE [240 TORTS TO LAND [240 TORTS TO LAND [240	LIABILITY [] 340 MARINE [] 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 350 MOTOR VEHICLE [] 355 MOTOR VEHICLE	PHARMACEUTICAL PERSONAI INJURY/PRODUCT LIABILITY 365 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY PRISONER PETTIONS 463 ALEN DETAINEE 350 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 28 USC 2255 350 HABEAS CORPUS	SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881 1 690 OTHER PROPERTY RIGHTS [] 820 COPYRIGHTS [] 830 PATENT [] 830 PATENT [] 840 TRADEMARK LABOR [] 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT [] 720 LABORMOMT RELATIONS] 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT [] 751 FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT (FMLA)] 780 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION [] 781 FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT (FMLA)] 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION [] 482 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION [] 463 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS	SOCIAL SECURITY [] 881 HIA (1395/f) [] 882 BLACK LUNG (823) [] 883 DRWC/DIWW (405(9)) [] 884 SKD TITLE XM [] 885 RSI (405(9)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS [] 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) [] 871 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) [] 871 RS-THRD PARTY 26 USC 7609	 [] 375 FALSE CLAIMS [] 376 OUF TAM [] 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT [] 410 ANTITRUST [] 430 BANKS & BANKING [] 430 COMMERCE [] 460 DEPORTATION [] 440 CACKETEER INFLU- ENCEO & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO) [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 480 CABLE/SATELLITE TV [] 850 SECURITIES/ COMMODITIES/ EXCHANGE [] 850 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS [] 851 AGRECULTURAL ACTS [] 853 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS [] 855 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT [] 856 ARBITRATION [] 859 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT/REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISIO [] 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY O STATE STATUTES 	

DO YOU CLAIM THIS CASE IS RELATED TO A CIVIL CASE NOW PENDING IN S.D.N.Y. AS DEFINED BY LOCAL RULE FOR DIVISION OF BUSINESS 13? CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 V IF SO, STATE: _ OTHER_ DOCKET NUMBER DEMAND \$_ JUDGE

Check YES only if demanded in complaint JURY DEMAND: 🗵 YES 🖾NO

NOTE: You must also submit at the time of filing the Statement of Relatedness form (Form IH-32).

1.11.7	Case 1:18-0	cv-07549 Docume	ent 2 File	08/19/1	B Page 2 of 2		
(PLACE AN X IN C	ONE BOX ONLY)		ORIGIN		erred from 6 Multidistrict	7 Appea	lo District
I Original Proceeding	2 Removed from State Court	from Appellate	Reinstated or Reopened	5 Transfe (Specify	y District) (Transferred)	Judge	
	a. all parties repres				8 Multidistrict Litigation	Direct File	0
	b. At least one part is pro se.	ta.					
(PLACE AN x IN C				CTION 4 Divers	IF DIVERSITY CITIZENSH	the second se	
	CITIZENS	HIP OF PRINCIPAL PA	ARTIES (FO	R DIVERSI	TY CASES ONLY)		
(Place an [X	() in one box for Plaintiff an						
CITIZEN OF THIS ST	PTF DEF	CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A			NCORPORATED and PRINCIPAL PLAC	РТF ()5	
CITIZEN OF ANOTHE	R STATE []2 []2	FOREIGN COUNTRY INCORPORATED of PRINCIP OF BUSINESS IN THIS STA			OREIGN NATION	[]6	[]6
PLAINTIFF(S) AD	DRESS(ES) AND CO	JNTY(IES)					
	ADDRESS(ES) AND C						
REPRESENTATION			BEEN UNABLE,	WITH REAS	DNABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERT	AIN	
		COURTH	OUSE ASSIG	MENT			
I hereby certify the	at this case should be a	ssigned to the courthouse	indicated beto	w pursuant t	o Local Rule for Division of Busi	ness 18,	20 or 21.
Check one: THI	S ACTION SHOULD	BE ASSIGNED TO:		TE PLAIN	S 🗷 MANHATTAN		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD			ED TO PRACTICE IN THIS DISTR	CT	
8/16/18				[] NO	(DATE ADMITTED Mo.02	Yr. 2017)
RECEIPT #	/s/ Joseph	H. Mizrahi			Bar Code # JM-7418		
		by the Clerk of the Co					
Magistrate Judg	jê				is so Designated.		
Ruby J. Krajick,	Clerk of Court by	Deputy	Clerk, DATE	D			
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT (N	EW YORK SOUTHERN)					
	с	lear Form	Save		Print		

.

